PAPER I

"philosophical approach of existence from nothingness"

Metaphysics

Thesis by

Dipesh Pakhrin

Undergraduate dissertation
Department of physics,

Tri Chandra college

"view from the decades of 1960s"

Abstract:

I tend to find the answer how something emerges out of nowhere. Here physicist and other expertise have been puzzled since many years regarding the beginning of universe. Well, they say, there occurred big bang at the very beginning of the universe, which means the existence of one and the existences around oneself emerged out of the enormous explosion of big chunk of matter and energy. Today physicist and cosmologist have their own part of reasoning like quantum tunneling, vacuum fluctuations and others which is rather the undigested bit of pieces for me. It is not necessary that the scientific approach must necessarily be the final draft of the something but I bet you for sure metaphysics and philosophy will give one satisfying answer which physics has missed to interpret the deep down question above all.

Introduction:

Here we slice off the question how is it possible for such a cluster which is mass of stuff got to form when there is no possible answer where and how those stuff came out to play. Now someone so called theist would probably say there needed to be some superbeing out there like PHANES the Greek god, the creator of cosmos, or other kind of divine power like in every religious book ever written, where divine being with divine potential considered to be god might have played role in the void space, as a special character. I urge everyone who think so to rethink how that superbeing came into existence without knowing by itself at the very beginning of any existences.

One must picture in a way whether the given laws resonate with the actual phenomena of nature itself or not, but not in a way that might upend the existing laws and violate the whole law of the universe later in

the due course of time. If the so called superbeing come out of nowhere into existence, physics won't allow that. So, it is absurd to have a naïve speculation for the emergence of something at a bigger level like planet, star, galaxy, even the vast cosmos exists out of nowhere. So, we must take a step ahead and gaze at the essence in spite of appearance, which probably philosophy would help to take journey.

1. The unsolved mystery of the occurrence at the finest level.

Today physicist and many other people out there have been discussing theory such as string theory which clearly states that the fundamental constituents of the universe are one dimensional "strings" rather than point like particles. What we perceive as particles are actually vibration in the loops of string, each with its own characteristic frequency. If so then it might be reasonable to question what makes them vibrate out. Where does these energies for vibration come from? Again, was there some intelligent being out there for vibration? Ha-ha! Come on didn't we already explained why not. So, what? It can be seen as an open metaphysical question rather than a search for an exact answer.

But before that, lets ponder in a question like does the property of the things ingenerate with their origin? Does matter exist or property of that matter exist first? It might be skeptical but the question that troubled me from the very beginning is how does something possess the property in the way it should be? The way Kant present in the critique of pure reason - "that rule precedes the activity" can be of little help in stating that, property of an object precedes its existence and form.

Well, at the origin if you say that something evolves with parallel to time then, how does an object comes to know what it ought to be, when there is nothing nor guide neither any such factor to be applied to them,

what they actually should be in near future. Even if we consider that as an exception then today it wouldn't be the universe how we are supposed to be seeing around oneself. It would terribly bizarre.

Consider, surrounding environment might also play as a factor, but if you look at the mechanism of oneness, at the single entity of any event, its far beyond our understanding, it is something inbuilt. If we try to apply the same proposition at the very beginning of universe, I'll ask what might be the principle that anonymous object got to bear up with. It seems more and more fictious, is that voidness which gives property for something called anonymous or voidness is not in itself empty. It is there for containing something.

2. Time and space.

Today physicists meddle upon the higher dimensions. Someday expecting to figure out more and more other dimensions. Talking about other dimension, one obscure physicist messed up to the fourth dimension. Today some people often mistaken with dimension of space and spacetime. It might be quite surprising but space-time is in itself inbuilt property. I'll love to say emptiness yielded time as their property. It might sound rather skeptic but that's the only one central idea that the very beginning which is also before the big bang might chance for the inception of universe. So, why do even we bother with the space-time as the fourth dimension. Why the proposition of Einstein messing up with time dilation tends to be correct. It's not that easy going up with these things. But the lifetime of muons, pair of atomic watch winded around the earth and few others are very accurate. Today even some gadgets like GPS are built on the concepts of time dilation. We can't deny the truth and simply say no to the proof which have been proofed experimentally but that doesn't mean

we can't question the truth. We can once again re-explore the fact where the truth itself is fundamentally based upon.

The aha! moment that we simply get from the facts like the tangible form of time, which meant its stretchable as well as shrinkable is only the mere delusion of layman. There is no such thing as time if you picture it in the form dilating, past, present, and future. There is only and only the one truth and that is change in form and space. If so, then are those to be called fourth dimension represented by time completely wrong? No exactly not. Now first make a differences between time and duration of events. Plunging at the twin paradox, duration that takes for changing energy which constantly react in space with high speed is slow compared with the stationary one. That's the zest, neither time runs fast nor slow. But if you look directly it seems so.

3. The infinity of the universe.

Now, here comes the universe as a finite or infinite the finest and bit unsolved question throughout the course of history. Those theologians have their own point of view, whereas scientist have the experimental approach, philosophers have bit of interesting. Here I'm going to approach philosophically. Let's take a glance at Spinoza on substance. "a thing is said to be finite in its own kind when it can be limited by another thing of the same nature. For example, a body is said to be finite because we can always conceive of another body greater than it. So, too, a thought is limited by another thought but body is not limited by thought, nor thought by body." So, in the same fashion, observable universe is not infinite, it is limited by space and it is therefore finite.
Now, we can say that voidness is infinite.

Well in ethics, there is a proposition that something around us do exist due to necessity of its own nature i.e. ‘free necessity’. Do this apply for the very beginning of universe, okay let’s see. As we know that the Spinoza in his ethics wrote down that ‘physical things are finite things’, they are limited by other things. In that way, body is always limited by space that they occupied. So, these other things around them are the cause of other finite things, which are the cause of other finite things, so on to its infinity. If something emerges due to its free necessity then, I have puerile doubt, in these massive and infinite multiverse does mathematical nature comes to play out of free will. What if the empty space has its property as the mathematics.

4. Distortions at the multitude.

Sometime we would like to think does the universe has its law balanced and same over the course of time. What I meant is that some say’s before big bang the whole law of universe was distorted, which I strongly object. Which they indirectly propose that law of universe is somewhere distorted and somewhere not. If you are good at imagination, then let’s take a break and try to meditate on the subject, what if these infinite space is messed up and missed to plot time in space-time timeline. Then here comes the teeny weeny twist, which meant it seems little at the beginning of our imagination but as we enlarge out imagination it brings the twist at grandeur i.e. at the multitude of the universe if we ponder much deep.

First, think a particular point of space where mathematics didn’t play well and unfortunately time is missed. What a horrible point that might be, but stay with me, let’s make way through that point by casting a ray of light. What would one see? What do you see? While I see nothing. Does it let the ray to pass? Perhaps no. Velocity of light can’t surpass

over a distance without time. So, does it reflect light? Probably no because it's not a tangible object. Which obvious to say it swallows the light energy. I am afraid to say that the point increases to the multitude that its size will grow to the millions of billions times within a splits of second. Agree or not but that makes the distortion of law all over the space. So the mathematics of the cosmos which I would call frequency of the universe or as you like the music of nature is nowhere distorted or distorted everywhere. It's not the way somewhere distorted and somewhere not.

Conclusion:

Every single entity on this universe about its existence has definable answer. Only the difference is how we ask questions. The above are the answers given at the best of my imagination and meditation on the philosophy. Some may find it bit of controversial but hope as much as it would unlock the path for those reasoning of mine to cast a light in the way of understanding of many more rather than driving to the unnecessary conflict. From this many other would change the way they see the universe. So, to the nutshell, the beginning of universe has something unimaginable interpretation to be imagined by the future generation and hope forth for some delicate reasoning.